IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.Nos.26895 of 1997 and 423,589 and 3182 of 1998

-

-

WRIT PETITION No.26895 of 1997

Between:

A.P.Electriacal Equipment Corporation,

Rep By its Chief Executive K.C. Kabra
Sanathnagar , Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad.
2 A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation
Satff Union , Regn.No.B.1270 , Sanathnagar Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the award of the 1st respondent in I.D.No.19 of 1986, Dt: 8-10-1996 is bad in law and unenforcable and pass further or other orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: None appears

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.B.G.RAVINDER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 423 of 1998

Between:

A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation

Rep by its Chief Executive Sri K.C.Kabra
Ashok Marg , Sanathnagar Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation Rep by its President
Staff Union ,Ashok Marg,Sanathnagar Hyderabad.
2 The Industrial Tribunal, Rep by its Chairman
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the order of the 2nd respondent in E.A.No.5 of 1997 in E.P.No.2 of 1997 in I.D.No.19 of 1986, dt: 3-11-1997 is illegal , void and without jurisdiction and consequently declare that the execution proceedings in E.P.No.2 of 1997 on the file of the 2nd respondent is not maintainable in law and pass such further or other order.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Mr.B.G.RAVINDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: None appears

WRIT PETITION No. 589 of 1998

Between:

1 K.Venkataiah S/o.Narasaiah
C/o.A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation , Ashok Marg, sanathnagar
Hyderabad.
2 K.R.Subba Rao S/o.Subba Rao
C/o.A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation , Ashok Marg, sanathnagar
Hyderabad.
3 A.Manikyam S/o.Pullaiah
C/o.A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation , Ashok Marg, sanathnagar
Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Industrial Tribunal-I Rep by its Chairman
Chandravihar Building M.J.Road , Hyderabad.
2 The A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation Staff Union Rep by its President B.Veeraiah
SRT-15, Electrical Equipment Staff quarthers Erragadda, Hyderabad-16
3 The A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation . Rep by its Chief Executive
Ashok Marg , Sanathnagar Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to call for the records from the 1st respondent and issue an appropriate writ order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Certiorari and quash the order Passed in E.A.No.5/97 in E.P.No.2/97 in I.D.No.19/86 Dt: 3-11-1997 as illegal , unjust contrary to law and without jurisdiction and pass such further or other orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.A.K.JAYAPRAKASH RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr.B.G.RAVINDER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Mr.K.Satyanarayana Rao

WRIT PETITION No. 3182 of 1998

Between:

A.P.Electrical Equipment Corporation Rep by its Chief Executive
Ashok Marg, sanathnagar Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Sri.B.Veerayya S/o.Late B.Rosayya
R/o. SRT.15, ECE Staff Quarters, Erragadda , HYderabad.
2 The Presiding Officer
Additional Labour Court, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring that the order of the 2nd respondent passed in M.P.No.73 of 1995, dt: 2-9-1997 is illegal and without jurisdiction and unenforceable in law and pass such further or other orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Mr.B.G.RAVINDER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: None appeared

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P.Nos.26895 of 1997 and 423,589 and 3182 of 1998

COMMON ORDER:

Learned counsel for both the parties submit that the matter has been settled out of Court amicably and the management has agreed to pay an amount of Rs.3,50,000/- towards full and final settlement of the dispute. It is also settled that the workman would be allowed to continue in the quarters allotted by the management till the end of October,2004. To this extent, the workman shall file an undertaking that he would voluntarily vacate the premises on or before 31st October,2004; otherwise, the management is at liberty to take appropriate action.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

10th September,2004

prk

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Chairman, Industrial Tribunal-I,

Chandravihar Building M.J.Road , Hyderabad.

2 The Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court, Hyderabad.

3. 2CD copies